



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Slater Investments Limited





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
&	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	ational Overview				Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
00 TG		8	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
00 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown 8 n/a								✓	
80 OO	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public	П						✓
00 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a	Ш						✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
00 INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
00 INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
00 INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	*	Private							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	gy and Governance				P	rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year ✓ Private									✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI									✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making		Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - I	Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership						cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓ Private			✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confider	Confidence building measures						Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									



Slater Investments Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



0 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	Gener
00	01.1 Select the services ar	nd funds you offer		
Select th	e services and funds you offer	· % of ass	et under management (AUM) in ranges
und man	agement	0%		
		O <10%		
		O 10-509	%	
		● >50%		
Fund of fu	inds, manager of managers, sub-	-advised products		
		○ <10%		
		O 10-509	%	
		○ >50%		
Other		o 0%		
		○ <10%		
		O 10-509	%	
		○ >50%		
Total 1009	%			•
	Further options (may l	pe selected in addition to the	above)	
☑ H	ledge funds			
□F	und of hedge funds			
O 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	Gene
.00	Soloot the location of	your organisation's headqua	tore	
00		your organisation's nea uqual	icio.	
Unit	ed Kingdom			
00	02.2 Indicate the number of	of countries in which you have	e offices (including your headqu	uarters).
• 1				
O 2	-5			



○ 6-10○ >10

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

16

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

No

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			991	412	582
Currency	GBP				
Assets in USD		1	280	699	406

 $\hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indic

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 \bigcirc as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	<10%	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0



Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

ndicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional]

O Yes

 \bigcirc No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09		Mand	atory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09.	.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	100					
			Emerging Markets			
	0					
			Frontier Markets			
	0					
			Other Markets			
	0					
			Total 100%			

Principles for Responsible Investment

100%

	Asset clas	s implementation gateway indic	ators									
00 10	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General							
	00 10.1	Select the active ownership activities	es your organis	ation implemented in the reporting ye	ear.							
		Listed equity – engagement										
		gage with companies on ESG factors not engage directly and do not require		•	ESG factors.							
	Listed equity – voting											
'		st our (proxy) votes directly or via dedi not cast our (proxy) votes directly and			ahalf							
	□ We do	not east our (proxy) votes unectry and	a do not require	external managers to vote on our be	ilali							
00 11	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General							
	00 11.1			ich you addressed ESG incorporation practices (during the reporting year)								
		Listed equity										
		dress ESG incorporation. not do ESG incorporation.										
		Hedge funds										
'	We add	dress ESG incorporation.										
	○ We do	not do ESG incorporation.										
00 12	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General							
	00 12.1		10% or more of	may report on. Those which are mand your AUM) are already ticked and reed into by ticking the box.								
		Core modules										
	_	sational Overview										
	☑ Strateg	gy and Governance										
		RI implementation directly or via	service provide	rs								



Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

☑ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☑ Engagements
- ☑ (Proxy) voting

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

 $\hfill\square$ Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds

Closing module

☑ Closing module



Slater Investments Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



	maicate ii are iiiveetiiiert p	oolicy covers any of	the following	
	ganisation's definition of ESG a	and/or responsible i	nvestment and it's relation to in	vestments
☐ Your in	vestment objectives that take E	SG factors/real eco	onomy influence into account	
☑ Time h	prizon of your investment			
☐ Govern	ance structure of organisationa	ıl ESG responsibiliti	es	
☐ ESG in	corporation approaches			
✓ Active •	wnership approaches			
	ng			
☐ Climate	change			
☐ Unders	tanding and incorporating client	t / beneficiary susta	inability preferences	
☐ Other F	I considerations, specify (1)			
☐ Other F	I considerations, specify (2)			
SG 01.4			iples and overall investment str s,and how they consider ESG f	
of risk and re			ng value filters provides the opt I with focus, discipline, intellect	
			fundamental criteria with a cor	
			elieve that those rare companies will be disproportionately rew	
•	•	· ·	companies positioned for strong	
performance	. As a business we approach E	SG integration fron	n a practical perspective, consi	
issues agair	st the backdrop of investment to	ime nonzon and go	als of each portiono.	
) No				
No No				
No Manda	tory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
☐ Policy set	ting out your overall approach
☐ Formalise	d guidelines on environmental factors
☐ Formalise	d guidelines on social factors
☐ Formalise	d guidelines on corporate governance factors
□ Engagem	ent policy
☑ (Proxy) vo	oting policy

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

<u>https</u>	s://www.slaterinvestments.com/ste	ewardship-code/		
	chment (will be made public) of publicly disclose our investment	t policy documents		
SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investme attachment of the document.	ent policy components	s are publicly available. Provide	e URL and an
☐ Time hori	anisation's definition of ESG and/o izon of your investment wnership approaches	or responsible investr	nent and it's relation to investm	ents
	URL/Attachment			
☑ URL	-			
	URL			
https	s://www.slaterinvestments.com/wp	o-content/uploads/202	20/01/Q4-2019-Vote-Summary	.pdf
	chment of publicly disclose any investment	t policy components		
Mai	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation ha investment process.	s a policy on managi	ng potential conflicts of interest	in the
Yes				
SG 03.	Describe your policy on m	anaging potential cor	of interest in the investme	ent process.

Slater Investments has a comprehensive coflicts of interest policy that covers potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. The company's Risk Committee acts as the Conflict of Interest Committee and will deal with any related matters as well as the annual review of conflicts register.

 \bigcirc No

SG

Objec	ctives and strategies			
SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General



	SG 05.	Indicate if and how frequent investment activities.	tly your organisation sets	and reviews objectives for its resp	oonsible
	Qua	rterly or more frequently			
	O Biar	nually			
	O Ann	ually			
	O Less	s frequently than annually			
	O Ad-l	noc basis			
	O It is	not set/reviewed			
	Gover	nance and human resources			
	Gove	nance and numan resources			
SG 07	7	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
	SG 07.			ur organisation, and indicate for e illities for responsible investment.	acn wnetner
		Roles			
	□В	oard members or trustees			
	✓Ir	ternal Roles (triggers other options))		
	_				
		Select from the below	v internal roles		
		$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Convextment Committee	Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (CO	O),
		☑ Oversight/accountability for	r responsible investment		

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 ☐ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 ☐ Portfolio managers
 ☐ Investment analysts
 ☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
 ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 ☐ Investor relations
 ☐ Other role, specify (1)
 ☐ Other role, specify (2)
 ☐ External managers or service providers



SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

The COO chairs the ESG committee, which is in charge of formulating policies and implementing strategies.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

3

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

☑ Principles for Responsible Investment



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
□ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
□ CDP Climate Change
□ CDP Forests
□ CDP Water
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Climate Action 100+
□ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
□ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
□ ESG Research Australia
☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
□ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

			aborative organisation/initiative, speci	•		
SG 1	0	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	SG 10	.1	Indicate if your organisation promot initiatives.	tes responsible	e investment, independently of collabo	orative
	○ Yes	8				
	No					
	Outso	ourcir	ng to fiduciary managers and in	vestment co	onsultants	
SG 1	2	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	New sel	ection	options have been added to this in	ndicator. Plea	se review your prefilled responses	carefully.
	SG 12	.1	Indicate whether your organisation	uses investme	ent consultants.	
	☐ Yes	s, we u	se investment consultants			
			not use investment consultants.			
	ESG	issue	s in asset allocation			
SG 1	3	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 13	.1			nario analysis and/or modelling, and if asset class, sector, strategic asset al	
	☐ Yes	s, in or	der to assess future ESG factors			
		,	der to assess future climate-related ri			
	☑ No,	our or	ganisation does not currently carry or	ut scenario ana	alysis and/or modelling	
	Asse	t clas	s implementation not reported i	n other mod	lules	
SG 1	6	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General
	SG 16	.1		eveloped or fo	ally managed assets for which a spec r which you are not required to report	



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Hedge funds - DDQ	Select whether you have responded to the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ O Yes No
Hedge funds	We have not yet responded to the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ.

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- O We disclose to the public

Listed equity - (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



The second of the second				10		
The informat	tion diec	Incad to	- Cliante/	hanatu	CIATIAC IC	the came
	iiuii uisc	IUSEU IU	CHELLS	Dellell	ualico io	ule salle

- Yes
- \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclose all voting decisions	
O Disclose some voting decisions	
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	
URL https://www.slaterinvestments.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Q4-2019-Vote-Summary.pdf	

Hedge Funds

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public



Slater Investments Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

1	Manda	atory	Public	Gateway	PR
LE	El 01.1	Indicate which ESG inco actively managed listed strategy or combination	equities; and the break	or combination of strategies down of your actively mana	s you apply to yo ged listed equiti
E	SG incorp	oration strategy (select a	all that apply)		
	□ Scre	ening alone (i.e., not comb	pined with any other stra	itegies)	
	☐ Ther	natic alone (i.e., not combi	ined with any other strat	egies)	
	☐ Integ	gration alone (i.e., not com	bined with any other str	ategies)	
	☑ Scre	ening and integration strat	enies		
	entage of	active listed equity to whicl	h the		
	entage of		n the		
strate	entage of egy is app	active listed equity to whicl	n the %		
strate	entage of egy is app	active listed equity to whicl ied — you may estimate +	th the % 90		
strate	eentage of egy is app	active listed equity to which ied — you may estimate +	th the % 90		
strate	entage of egy is app	active listed equity to which ied — you may estimate + matic and integration strate ening and thematic strates	th the % 90 egies		
strate 5%	entage of egy is app	active listed equity to which ied — you may estimate + matic and integration strate ening and thematic strategaree strategies combined do not apply incorporation active listed equity to which	90 90 90 egies strategies		
strate 5%	entage of egy is app	active listed equity to which ied — you may estimate + matic and integration strate ening and thematic strategaree strategies combined do not apply incorporation	90 egies strategies		

100%



LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

Slater Investments offers a diverse fund line-up using an integrated sustainable investment approach, where ESG screening is incorporated into the fundamental analysis of companies across all of our funds. We consider ESG analysis to be a complementary tool to the fundamental research that is done to understand, with a high degree of conviction, a company's earnings and growth potential. As long-term investors, we use ESG factors to help to identify companies positioned for strong long-term performance. As a business we approach ESG integration from a practical perspective, considering these issues against the backdrop of investment time horizon and goals of each fund or segregated account.

Rather than exclude entire sectors, our approach is to focus on the progress companies are making toward making responsible environmental, social and governance decisions. We engage with investee companies on relevant ESG issues where this can lead to positive outcomes over time.

(A)) Implementa	ntion: Screening			
LEI 04	Mandato	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type equities.	of screening you a	pply to your internally managed a	ctive listed
	Type of scre	eening			
	□ Negative/	exclusionary screening			
	☑ Positive/b	est-in-class screening			
	Screened	l by			
	☐ Prod	uct			
	☐ Activ	rity			
	Section Section	or			
	☐ Cour	ntry/geographic region			
	□ Envi	ronmental and social practices a	nd performance		
	☐ Corp	orate governance			
		Description			
	sustainabi Incorporati leading to on ESG to achieving its infant s to change We have a	ility and impact on an investment ting these factors into investment superior long-term performance orating agencies. We have cond investment returns and conclude stage or it may be that bad companie behaviour from within companie	t in a company and t analysis and portfolia. Slater Investment ucted research into ed they are not. Thi anies can game the sand actively engage.	nportant factors in measuring the have significant financial relevant olio construction can help mitigate is does not outsource any of our at whether ESG ratings are helpful is may be down to the fact that ESE exystem. We believe that the way age with management to bring abgement of companies that we own	ce. e risk, essessment in eG is still at offorward is out change.



LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

We will notify clients if and when our screening criteria changes, although this has not happened yet.

LEI 05	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1	
	LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG screening is base	d on robust	
'	☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.					
		es are given the opportunity by you	ou or your research	provider to review ESG research	n on them	
		research and data used to identify staff, the internal audit function or		excluded/included is subject to in	ternal audit	
	☐ Third-par	ty ESG ratings are updated regul	arly to ensure that	portfolio holdings comply with fur	d policies.	
	☐ Trading p	latforms blocking / restricting flag	ged securities on t	he black list.		
	☐ A commit research rev	tee, body or similar with represer riews some or all screening decis	ntatives independer sions.	nt of the individuals who conduct	company	
	☑ A periodice	review of internal research is ca	rried out.			
	☐ Review a	nd evaluation of external researc	h providers.			
	☐ Other; sp	ecify				
	☐ None of t	he above				
	LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your comprehensive ESG research		isted equity portfolio that is subje screening strategy.	ct to	
	○ <10%					
	○ 10-50%					
	• 51-90%					
	○ >90%					
	1.51.05.4	Indicate how frequently you re	viou internal recon	rob that builds your ESC coroons		
	LEI 05.4	Indicate now frequently you re-	view internal reseal	rch that builds your ESG screens		
	Quarterly	or more frequently				
	O Bi-Annua	lly				
	Annually					
	O Less freq	uently than annually				
(C) Implementa	ation: Integration of ESG fac	ctors			
LEI 08	Mandato	nrv	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1	
	Maridate		- rabilo		1 111	
	LEI 08.1	Indicate the proportion of active		equity portfolios where E, S and	G factors	

ESG issues	Proportion	impacted by analysis
Environmental	Froportion	impacted by analysis
Liiviioiiiieiitai		Environmental
	O 400/	
	O <10%	
	10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	○ >90%	
Social		
		Social
	O <10%	
	10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	○ >90%	
Corporate		
Governance		Corporate Governance
	O <10%	
	O 10-50%	
	• 51-90%	
	○ >90%	

EI 09	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG integration is base	ed on robust
		ensive ESG research is undertak	ken or sourced to d	etermine companies' activities an	d products
	•	es are given the opportunity by yo inaccuracies	ou or your research	n provider to review ESG research	n on them
	☐ Third-par	ty ESG ratings are updated regul	larly		
	☐ A periodic	☐ A periodic review of the internal research is carried out			
		$\hfill \Box$ Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team			
	☐ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against bend	chmark		
	☐ Analysis	of the impact of ESG factors on in	nvestment risk and	return performance	
	☐ Other; specify				
	□ None of t	he above			



LEI 09.2	comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10%	
○ 10-50%	
● 51-90%	
○ >90%	
	Describe how ECC information is held and used by your partfells managers
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.
	rmation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
□ ESG infor ☑ ESG infor	
☐ ESG infor ☑ ESG infor industry/sect	rmation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff rmation or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or tor analysis generated by investment staff ic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into
□ ESG infor☑ ESG infor industry/sect□ Systemati	rmation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff rmation or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or tor analysis generated by investment staff ic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into decisions



Slater Investments Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEA 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

URL

https://www.slaterinvestments.com/stewardship-code/

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- ☑ ESG issues
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Prioritisation of engagement
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Methods of engagement
- ☑ Transparency of engagement activities
- ☑ Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☑ Insider information
- ☑ Escalation strategies
- ☑ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☐ Other; (specify)
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



	Voting
	☑ ESG issues
	☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
	☑ Methods of voting
☑ Transparency of voting activities	
	☑ Regional voting practice approaches
	☑ Filing or co-filing resolutions
	☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote
	☑ Decision-making processes
	☐ Securities lending processes
	☐ Other; (specify)
□ 0	ther
□N	one of the above
\bigcirc No	
LEA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?
○ Yes	

_				4	
En	กล	മ	m	oni	
	ча	чС	ш	CIII	

LEA 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	$\hfill\square$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	\square We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	$\hfill\square$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	\square We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	$\hfill\Box$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	\square To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\hfill\Box$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff	
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements
	☑ Geography/market of the companies
	✓ Materiality of the ESG factors
	✓ Exposure (size of holdings)
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
	□ Responses to divestment pressure
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Client request
	☐ Breaches of international norms
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
O-H-bti	
Collaborative engagements	Collaborative engagements
	☐ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors
	☐ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration
	☐ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers

 \bigcirc No



LEA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We engage with companies both proactively and reactively, depending on the circumstance. We endeavour to respond to as many issues as we can; but on occasion must prioritise either by the size of holding or the severity of our concerns. As we are a smaller asset manager, we focus on those companies where we have a significant shareholding as we believe it is here that we can add most value.

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 05.1 Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	Yes, in all cases
Collaborative engagements	○ Yes, in a majority of cases
Collaborative engagements	

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis ☐ Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis ☐ Other; specify

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- ☑ Collaborating with other investors
- ☑ Issuing a public statement
- ☑ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- ☑ Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- ☑ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- ☑ Submitting nominations for election to the board
- ☑ Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- ☑ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- ☑ Divestment
- ☐ Other; specify

 \bigcirc No

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagementsWe do not track

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- O We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- \bigcirc We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We usually vote by proxy using either voting platform "Proxy Edge" or by giving clear voting instructions to custodians. SIL undertake our own analysis of resolutions being considered at AGMs and other shareholder meetings. We vote at every shareholder meeting, regardless of the size of our investment. It is SIL's policy to vote FOR or AGAINST resolutions and to keep abstentions to a minimum. In doing so we take into consideration actions of investee companies with respect to the UK corporate governance code. SIL reports on each of its engagements to its clients either in writing or in formal meetings. We also publish a quarterly voting summary on our website. The investment management team make decisions for voting on our clients' shares.

LEA 15 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2



	LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.			
	○ 100%				
	○ 99-75%				
	○ 74-50%				
	O 49-25%				
	• 24-1%				
	O Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting				
	LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.				
	☐ Vote(s) concerned selected markets				
	□ Vote(s) concerned selected sectors				
	☑ Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues				
	□ Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues				
	☐ Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings				
	☐ Client red	quest			
	☐ Other				
LEA	16 Mai	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LLA	IVI IVIA	nuator y	Tublic	Oute Assessed	11(12
	LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes whe communicated the rationale to com recommendations. Indicate this as	panies for abstaini	ing or voting against management	
	○ 100%				
	⊚ 99-75%				
	○ 74-50%				
	49-25%24-1%We do not communicate the rationale to companies				
	O Not application of the commend	cable because we and/or our service p	providers did not al	bstain or vote against manageme	nt
		ations			
	LEA 16.2	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag			nale for
		Indicate the reasons why your orga			nale for
	□ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag			nale for
	□ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag oncern selected markets			nale for
	□ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c ☑ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag oncern selected markets oncern selected sectors	ement recommend	dations.	nale for
	□ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against managoncern selected markets oncern selected sectors oncern certain ESG issues	ement recommend	dations.	nale for
	□ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c □ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern selected sectors oncern certain ESG issues oncern companies exposed to controvoncern significant shareholdings	ement recommend	dations.	nale for
	□ Vote(s) c	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern selected sectors oncern certain ESG issues oncern companies exposed to controvoncern significant shareholdings	ement recommend	dations.	nale for



LEA 16.3

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

Yes

 \bigcirc No

LEA 16.4

Additional information. [Optional]

We communicate at a high level the rationale for for voting against management recommendations.

LEA 17 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2 For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting **LEA 17.1** instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. We do track or collect this information Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) % 100 Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated • Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted O We do not track or collect this information **LEA 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

Yes

O No

LEA 19.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.

- ☑ Contacting the company's board
- ☑ Contacting the company's senior management
- ☑ Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale
- ☑ Initiating individual/collaborative engagement
- ☐ Directing service providers to engage
- ☑ Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment
- ☐ Other



Slater Investments Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Conf	idence building me	easures			
CM1 01	Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	General
					-
CM1	year has und		mation you have pr	ovided for your PRI Transparenc	/ Report this
☐ Thi	rd party assurance ov	er selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report	
	☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year				ur PRI
	☐ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)				ted to the
	ernal audit of the corre ed to the PRI this yea		RI processes and/or	r accuracy of RI data (that have b	een
☑ Inte	ernal verification of res	sponses before submis	ssion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)	
(Whole PRI Transpa	rency Report has been	n internally verified		
		een internally verified			
	ner, specify				
□ No	ne of the above				
CM1 02	Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General
CM1	We undertoo	k third party assuranc	e on last year's PRI	Transparency Report	
O Wh	ole PRI Transparency	Report was assured	last year		
○ Se	ected data was assur	ed in last year's PRI T	ransparency Repor	t	
○ We	did not assure last ye	ear`s PRI Transparenc	y report		
No	ne of the above, we w	ere in our preparation	year and did not re	port last year.	
CM1 03	Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General
CM1	We undertak Transparenc		measures that are	unspecific to the data contained i	n our PRI
☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme					
\Box We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report					
□ ES	☐ ESG audit of holdings				
□ Oth	er, specify				
☑ No	ne of the above				
CM1 04	Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07 Mandatory Public Descriptive Ger	General
---	---------

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff

	Sign-off or review of responses	
☐ Sign-off		
☑ Review o	fresponses	
\square The Board		
☐ Investment Co	mmittee	
☐ Compliance Function		
☑ RI/ESG Team		
□ Investment Teams		
☐ Legal Department		
☐ Other (specify)		